



**Bureau of Land Management
Glenwood Springs Field Office**

**ROAN PLATEAU RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AMENDMENT**

**COMPARISON OF SIX
PRELIMINARY ALTERNATIVES**



October 14, 2002

October 14, 2002

Thank you for being involved in the public participation process of the Bureau of Land Management's Glenwood Springs Field Office (GSFO), for the Roan Plateau Plan Amendment. The management actions contained in this Comparison Table have been grouped into six preliminary alternatives, based on similarity of actions, and in order to highlight the variety of management options available. All preliminary alternatives represent a balance or mix of resource uses. While reviewing the management actions identified, please keep in mind that many management actions are interchangeable between alternatives, or could be presented in a different mix to alter an alternative or create a different alternative. Based on public input and continuing staff analysis, some of the management actions and alternatives may continue to be modified creating a different balance of uses. GSFO continues to refine the alternatives, but wanted to ensure that interested groups and individuals had a meaningful opportunity to be involved early in the process. With that in mind, this preliminary document is being made available for public review. Please focus your comments on:

- specific management actions**
- whether or not at least one of the management actions in at least one of the alternatives meets the resources concerns or issues you may have,**

Please be specific to the management actions, rather than just commenting on the alternative as a whole.

BLM's analysis and preferred alternative in the upcoming Draft Resource Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement (RMP/EIS) will consider comments received in writing on or before November 14, 2002.

If you have questions regarding this process, how to comment, need a comment form, wish to be added to the mailing list, or need any other assistance regarding BLM's land use planning process, contact:

**Greg Goodenow – Roan Plateau Plan
Bureau of Land Management
Glenwood Springs Field Office
P.O. Box 1009
Glenwood Springs, CO 81602**

Telephone: (970) 947-2800

BLM Administered Federal Lands – (Surface and Sub-surface Estate) Approximately 73,602 Acres	A No Action Continuation/ Extension of Existing Management Constraints	B Key Naturalness Areas Alternative	C Traditional Use & Uses Alternative	D Resource Enhancement	E Oil & Gas Leasing Emphasis	F Naturalness & Primitive Recreation
	Purpose & Need					
Overall Objective/ Theme	<p>Maintain the present uses, by adopting present management constraints and activities.</p> <p>Utilize present stipulations and restrictions.</p>	<p>Preservation of important natural character / landscape features / ecological richness / unique ecosystem values through natural processes.</p> <p>Emphasize naturalness in key areas & special designations (Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs), Wilderness Study Areas (WSAs), Wild & Scenic River (WSR) eligibility) & passive management techniques.</p>	<p>Maintain the present uses & conditions while allowing some opportunities for new oil & gas leasing and development, and other surface disturbing activities.</p> <p>Some special designations (Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs), Wilderness Study Areas (WSAs), Wild & Scenic River (WSR) eligibility), Mix of passive & active management techniques</p>	<p>Maintain ecological richness / unique ecosystem values, using active management techniques.</p> <p>Emphasize enhancement of renewable resources through special designations (Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs), Wilderness Study Areas (WSAs), Wild & Scenic River (WSR) eligibility) & active management techniques</p>	<p>Allow for oil & gas leasing and development and other surface disturbing activities in the least restrictive manner, consistent with the rest of the Resource Area</p> <p>Utilize present stipulations and restrictions that apply resource area wide.</p>	<p>Preservation of the natural character / landscape features / ecological richness / unique ecosystem values through natural processes. Preserve present recreational setting and experience.</p> <p>Emphasize naturalness in key areas& special designations Special Recreation Management Areas (SRMAs), Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs), Wilderness Study Areas (WSAs), Wild & Scenic River (WSR) eligibility & passive management techniques.</p>
Land Health Timeframes	Meet Land Health standards within 15-20 years	Meet or exceed Land Health Standards within 5-10 years	Meet Land Health Standards within 5-10 years	Meet or exceed all Land Health Standards within 5 years	Meet Land Health standards within 15-20 years	Meet or exceed Land Health Standards within 5-10 years
	Goals/ Objectives by Resource					
Ecological Values	Maintain present natural character/landscape features/ecological richness and biological diversity.	Protect and enhance the most important natural character/landscape features/ecological richness and biological diversity through natural processes .	Same as A	Protect and enhance natural character/landscape features/ecological richness and biological diversity through active management .	Allow natural character/landscape features/ecological richness and biological diversity to be modified by additional surface disturbance.	Protect and enhance natural character/landscape features/ecological richness and biological diversity through natural processes .
Access for Oil & Gas Development	A minimum amount of road construction & upgrading will be allowed for development of existing or new Oil & Gas leases. This includes new roads & upgrade of existing routes. Restrictions and stipulations will apply based on the various resources, objectives, and identified values for each alternative (such as No Surface Occupancy or Controlled Surface Use). Roads may be designated for administrative or permitted use only. Under all alternatives road construction will be limited by BLM to the minimum needed to access the lease and drilling locations.					
Oil and Gas leasing & development with no special stipulations (Acres)	0 All alternatives will have stipulations to meet land health standards, and limit surface locations to a surface spacing not more dense than 1 per 40 acres	0	0	0	0	0

BLM Administered Federal Lands – (Surface and Sub-surface Estate) Approximately 73,602 Acres	A No Action Continuation/ Extension of Existing Management Constraints	B Key Naturalness Areas Alternative	C Traditional Use & Uses Alternative	D Resource Enhancement	E Oil & Gas Leasing Emphasis	F Naturalness & Primitive Recreation
<i>Timing Limitations –Oil & Gas leasing with Timing Limitations (Acres) (May Overlap with More Restrictive Stipulations) See Maps.</i>	28,327 Timing limitations for big game, sensitive species, raptors, wildlife	Same as A	Same as A	Same as A	3,693	Same as A
<i>CSU-Oil & Gas leasing with Controlled Surface Use (CSU) (Acres) (May Overlap with More Restrictive Stipulations) See Maps.</i>	21,654 All Alternatives CSU for slope, special status species, significant plant communities, riparian & wetlands, visual	61,164	50,218	53,292	45,098	61,164
<i>NSO-Oil & Gas leasing with No Surface Occupancy (NSO) (Acres) (May Overlap with More Restrictive Stipulations) See Maps.</i>	12,616 NSO for slope, special status species, significant plant communities, raptors, wildlife, visual, caves, riparian	53,261 NSO for slope, special status species, significant plant communities, raptors, wildlife, visual, caves, riparian, ACECs, WSAs, WSR eligibility	44,557 NSO for slope, special status species, significant plant communities, raptors, wildlife, visual, caves, riparian, ACECs, WSAs, WSR eligibility	45,892 NSO for slope, special status species, significant plant communities, raptors, wildlife, visual, caves, riparian, ACECs, WSAs, WSR eligibility	32,252 NSO for slope, special status species, significant plant communities, raptors, wildlife, visual, caves, riparian, WSR eligibility	52,261 NSO for slope, special status species, significant plant communities, raptors, wildlife, visual, caves, riparian, ACECs, WSR eligibility,
<i>CLOSED to Oil & Gas leasing. This is the most restrictive stipulation. (Acres) (May Overlap with Less Restrictive Stipulations) See Maps.</i>	45,227 (Not leased within former NOSR 1 & 3 Parcels)	21,382 (WSAs)	10,993 (WSAs)	10,993 (WSAs)	0	43,254 21,382 (WSAs) 32,639 (Roan Plateau SRMA - includes 10,389 acres in WSAs)
<i>NSO-Lands available for lease where NSO is the Most Restrictive Stipulation (Acres)(May overlap with Less Restrictive Stipulations)</i>	12,616	31,878	33,564	34,900	32,252	15,488
<i>CSU-Lands available for lease where CSU is the Most Restrictive Stipulation, (No NSO) Applies(Acres) (May overlap with less restrictive stipulations)</i>	9,489	15,046	15,011	15,636	15,255	9,565
<i>Timing Limitations or Other –Lands available for lease where Timing Limitations or Other Stipulations are Most Restrictive (Acres), No NSO, or CSU Stipulation Applies.</i>	7,227	14,034	14,034	12,073	23,095	5,295

BLM Administered Federal Lands – (Surface and Sub-surface Estate) Approximately 73,602 Acres	A No Action Continuation/ Extension of Existing Management Constraints	B Key Naturalness Areas Alternative	C Traditional Use & Uses Alternative	D Resource Enhancement	E Oil & Gas Leasing Emphasis	F Naturalness & Primitive Recreation
<i>Oil Shale</i>	No leasing	Same as A	Same as A	Same as A	If merits warrant, at the discretion of the Secretary of Interior, allow for a research lease tract based on industry requests or a national energy program.	Same as A
<i>Coal</i>	No leasing.	Same as A	Leasing would be allowed in limited areas. Although the potential for the occurrence of coal at depth is high (+6,000 to 9,000 feet), the potential for development within the foreseeable future is considered low as the current economic mining limit is around 3,000 feet	Same as A.	Leasing would be allowed. Although the potential for the occurrence of coal at depth is high (+6,000 to 9,000 feet), the potential for development within the foreseeable future is considered low as the current economic mining limit is around 3,000 feet	Same as A.
<i>Locatable Minerals</i>	When the current NOSR withdrawal is revoked, all areas not in protective withdrawals would be available for mining claim location, subject to 3809 Regulations	Same as A	Same as A	Same as A	Same as A	Same as A
<i>Salable Minerals</i>	Allow for mineral material sales on a case by case basis	Same as A	Same as A	Same as A	Same as A	Same as A
<i>Paleontology</i>	Paleontological clearances/mitigation required prior to surface disturbance in Condition I and some Condition II areas	Same as A	Same as A	Same as A	Same as A	Same as A
<i>Wilderness Study Areas (WSAs) (Acres)</i>	0	21,382 Northeast Cliffs >5,801 Southeast Cliffs>5,192 East Fork > 10,389	10,993 Northeast Cliffs >5,801 Southeast Cliffs >5,192	10,993 Northeast Cliffs >5,801 Southeast Cliffs >5,192	0	21,382 Northeast Cliffs >5,801 Southeast Cliffs>5,192 East Fork > 10,389

BLM Administered Federal Lands – (Surface and Sub-surface Estate) Approximately 73,602 Acres	A No Action Continuation/ Extension of Existing Management Constraints	B Key Naturalness Areas Alternative	C Traditional Use & Uses Alternative	D Resource Enhancement	E Oil & Gas Leasing Emphasis	F Naturalness & Primitive Recreation
<i>Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs) (Number/Acres)</i>	0	4 ACECs / 36,145 acres Magpie > 5,846 acres (plants, scenic) Anvil Points > 10,226 acres (plants, scenic) East Fork > 9,777 acres (plants, fish, scenic) Trapper Cr. > 10,296 acres (plants, fish,)	3 ACECs / 19,160 acres Magpie > 5,846 acres (plants, scenic) Anvil Points > 10,226 acres (plants, scenic) East Fork > 3,087 acres (plants, fish, scenic)	4 ACECs / 27,446 acres Magpie > 5,846 acres (plants, scenic) Anvil Points > 10,226 acres (plants, scenic) East Fork > 9,777 acres (plants, fish, scenic) Trapper Cr. > 1597 acres (plants, fish,)	0	4 ACECs / 36,145 acres Magpie > 5,846 acres (plants, scenic) Anvil Points > 10,226 acres (plants, scenic) East Fork > 9,777 acres (plants, fish, scenic) Trapper Cr. > 10,296 acres (plants, fish,)
<i>Eligible under Wild & Scenic River Act (Acres)</i>	0 Eligibility findings not applied	7,883 Protect rivers found to be eligible under the WSRA	7,883 Same as B	7,883 Same as B	7,883 Same as B	7,883 Same as B
<i>Visual Resource Management (VRM) (Acres)</i>	Maintain Existing Visual Quality w/in classes Urban 12 Class 1 > 0 Class 2 > 24,039 Class 3 > 37,115 Class 4 > 10,340 Class 5 > 2,096	Protect & preserve natural landscape, promote natural processes in key areas. Urban 12 Class 1 > 27,214 Class 2 > 35,842 Class 3 > 1,518 Class 4 > 8,357 Class 5 > 658	Preserve high sensitivity & scenic areas Urban 12 Class 1 > 17,544 Class 2 > 45,503 Class 3 > 1,535 Class 4 > 8,350 Class 5 > 658	Preserve high sensitivity & scenic areas Urban 12 Class 1 > 17,544 Class 2 > 45,503 Class 3 > 1,535 Class 4 > 8,350 Class 5 > 658	Maintain Existing Visual Quality w/in classes Urban 12 Class 1 > 937 Class 2 > 25,359 Class 3 > 34,857 Class 4 > 10,340 Class 5 > 2,097	Protect & preserve natural landscape, promote natural processes, particularly on top of the plateau. Urban 12 Class 1 > 27,214 Class 2 > 35,842 Class 3 > 1,518 Class 4 > 8,357 Class 5 > 658
<i>Class 1: Typically results in NSO with very limited exceptions. Class 2: Typically results in NSO or CSU, reclamation & mitigation required. Class 3: Limited change acceptable. Reclamation & mitigation required. Class 4: Change in aesthetics acceptable. Reclamation & mitigation required. Class 5: Disturbed areas, pending reclassification after the required reclamation and mitigation.</i>						
<i>Recreation Activities</i>	Provide for existing mix of activities.	Provide for existing mix of activities. Emphasize activities related to backcountry settings.	Provide for existing mix of activities. Emphasize improving big game hunting on top of the Plateau.	Same as C	Provide for existing mix of activities.	Provide for existing mix of activities. Emphasize activities related to backcountry and primitive motorized settings.
<i>Recreation Setting</i>	Provide for more developed & roaded physical settings throughout landscape	Provide for less developed & roaded physical setting throughout on Plateau, more developed but less roaded below.	Provide for more developed & roaded physical settings throughout landscape.	Provide for less developed & roaded physical setting throughout on Plateau, more developed & roaded below.	Provide for more developed & roaded physical settings throughout landscape.	Provide for fewer routes, less developed & roaded physical setting on the Plateau, more developed but less roaded below.
<i>Special Recreation Management Areas (SRMAs)</i>	No SRMAs	No SRMAs	Hubbard Mesa SRMA for OHVs (2,460 acres)	No SRMAs	NO SRMAs	Hubbard Mesa SRMA for Off Highway Vehicles (OHVs) (2,460 acres) & Roan Plateau SRMA for primitive recreation (32,639 acres)

BLM Administered Federal Lands – (Surface and Sub-surface Estate) Approximately 73,602 Acres	A No Action Continuation/ Extension of Existing Management Constraints	B Key Naturalness Areas Alternative	C Traditional Use & Uses Alternative	D Resource Enhancement	E Oil & Gas Leasing Emphasis	F Naturalness & Primitive Recreation
<i>Travel Management</i>	No designated routes on transferred lands. Open to cross-country travel. (Present Travel Restrictions are temporary, subject to land use planning)	Designated routes on top of the Plateau and below.	Designated routes except Hubbard Mesa would be open to cross-country travel.	Designated routes on top of the Plateau and below.	Designated routes on top of the Plateau and open to cross-country travel below.	Designated routes, except Hubbard Mesa SRMA would be open to cross-country travel.
	<u>Open</u> : 66,934 acres with 259 miles of open routes	<u>Limited</u> : 66,934 acres with 171 miles of open routes (inc. an est. 35 miles on Hubbard Mesa)	<u>Limited</u> : 64,473 acres with 172 miles of open routes	<u>Limited</u> : 66,934 acres with 171 miles of open routes (inc. an est. 35 miles on Hubbard Mesa)	<u>Limited</u> : 54,429 acres with 156 miles of open routes	<u>Limited</u> : 64,473 acres with 136 miles of open routes
	<u>Open</u> = 66,934 acres	<u>Open</u> = 0 acres	<u>Open</u> = 2,460 acres	<u>Open</u> = 0 acres	<u>Open</u> = 12,504 acres	<u>Open</u> = 2,460 acres
<i>Upland Vegetation</i>	Improve ecological condition and increase forage production through development and implementation of economically feasible grazing systems and range improvements.	Emphasize natural values associated with the diverse composition and structure of vegetation.	Same as for Alternative A	Maintain or restore natural values while providing for forage production.	Optimize forage production from vegetation communities within the constraints of other resource management objectives	Same As B
	Implement land treatments consistent with existing management objectives.	Same as Alternative A Species used in seedings would be limited to native species only. Configure treatments to enhance natural values as consistent with other management objectives.	Same as for Alternative A.	Same as Alternative A with a preference for use of adapted perennial species. Configure treatments to maintain natural values and provide for commodity production as consistent with other management objectives.	Rehabilitate plant communities that are not meeting desired range of conditions due to dominance by annual or weedy species, or invasive juniper. Seed mixes would emphasize forage producing perennials that support livestock production and other commodity values.	Same As B
<i>Riparian Management</i>	Manage for current riparian/wetland objectives as outlined in land use plans. In any riparian/wetland area, uses or activities could occur if they benefit the riparian resource and allow progress toward enhancing proper functioning condition.	Focus specifically on uses and activities occurring in riparian/wetland areas. In any riparian/wetland area, use or activities would be allowed in the associated watershed if they have no negative effect on riparian/wetland areas, or if they promote progress toward the attainment of PFC and riparian management objectives.	Same as for Alternative A.	Consider uses and activities occurring in riparian/wetland areas and their entire associated watersheds. For any riparian/ wetland area, uses and activities could occur in the watershed if they allow progress towards the attainment of PFC and riparian management objectives.	Same as Alternative D	Same as Alternative B

BLM Administered Federal Lands – (Surface and Sub-surface Estate) Approximately 73,602 Acres	A No Action Continuation/ Extension of Existing Management Constraints	B Key Naturalness Areas Alternative	C Traditional Use & Uses Alternative	D Resource Enhancement	E Oil & Gas Leasing Emphasis	F Naturalness & Primitive Recreation
<i>Livestock Management</i>	Continue the authorization of livestock grazing use consistent with multiple use and sustained yield objectives identified in existing land use and activity plans.	Provide for a sustained yield of forage for livestock at a limited level while emphasizing resource values, consistent with resource objectives	Provide for a sustained yield of forage for livestock grazing, while maintaining resource values for long term multiple use consistent with resource objectives.	Same as for alternative C.	Emphasize livestock grazing use on public lands suitable for grazing while protecting resource values for multiple use and sustained yield, consistent with resource objectives.	Same as Alternative C
	Combine rangeland projects and administrative solutions to meet resource management objectives.	Emphasize administrative solutions (season of use revisions, stock level adjustments, pasture exclusions and utilization stipulations) as the preferred solution to meet resource management objectives and accelerate progress towards meeting or achieving land standards	Use a combination of administrative solutions (such as season of use revisions, livestock exclusion, and stocking level adjustments) and rangeland projects to facilitate meeting resource objectives.	Same as Alternative C.	Emphasize rangeland projects and land treatments as the preferred solution to meet resource management objectives. Plan and implement structural rangeland projects (primarily water developments and fencing) and land treatments to access available forage.	Same as Alternative C
	Allotment management plans would be developed within administrative units that have identified issues in meeting land health standards as a result of standard conformance reviews.	Allotment management plans would be developed within administrative units that have identified issues in meeting land health standards and with wildlife, watershed, and wetland/riparian issues.	Allotment management plans would be developed within those administrative units not making significant progress in meeting land health standards and resource management objectives.	Same as Alternative C.	Allotment Management Plans would be developed within administrative units that have identified issues in meeting land health standards.	Same as Alternative C
<i>Weed Management</i>	Apply approved noxious weed control methods in an integrated weed management program (including preventive management, as well as mechanical, biological, and chemical control techniques. Continue and expand public education efforts.	Increase inventory, detection, monitoring and project actions that focus on natural processes and native plants necessary to eradicate noxious weeds. Continue and expand public education efforts.	Same as for Alternative A	Same as for Alternative B	Same as for Alternative A	Same as Alternative A

BLM Administered Federal Lands – (Surface and Sub-surface Estate) Approximately 73,602 Acres	A No Action Continuation/ Extension of Existing Management Constraints	B Key Naturalness Areas Alternative	C Traditional Use & Uses Alternative	D Resource Enhancement	E Oil & Gas Leasing Emphasis	F Naturalness & Primitive Recreation
Fisheries	Maintain existing Colorado River cutthroat trout populations and habitat quality. The “ <i>Conservation Agreement and Strategy for Colorado River Cutthroat Trout, in the States of Colorado, Utah, and Wyoming</i> ” would be less emphasized, but applicable portions would be implemented as practical.	Management emphasis would be on protecting fishery and other aquatic species habitat. Aquatic habitat condition would be determined more by natural processes than any other alternative. However, restoration of habitats important to Colorado River cutthroat trout would be a priority where feasible under the constraints of the special designations.	Management emphasis would be on generally maintaining aquatic habitats and enhancing where appropriate on a site-specific basis. Implementation of the “ <i>Conservation Agreement and Strategy for Colorado River Cutthroat Trout, in the States of Colorado, Utah, and Wyoming</i> ” for Colorado River cutthroat trout would be implemented as applicable. Maintaining a fishable population of trout would be emphasized.	This alternative would emphasize more proactive aquatic habitat management. Implementation of the “ <i>Conservation Agreement and Strategy for Colorado River Cutthroat Trout, in the States of Colorado, Utah, and Wyoming</i> ” for Colorado River cutthroat trout would be implemented the most fully, taking into consideration more proactive management to improve habitats important to this species. Aquatic habitats would be more enhanced and restored under this alternative than any other, while still allowing other land uses.	Same as Alternative A	Same as B
Wildlife - Big Game	<p>No specific actions for big game identified</p> <p>No planned Management action to enhance big game habitat</p> <p>No travel Mgmt changes to improve big game harvest</p>	<p>Big game security provided through substantial reduction of roads within wildlife security areas.</p> <p>Habitat management via natural processes within special designations</p> <p>Travel Mgmt changes to improve big game harvest</p>	<p>Big game security provided through minor reduction of roads within wildlife security areas.</p> <p>Active Management actions to enhance big game habitat</p> <p>Travel Mgmt changes to improve big game harvest</p>	<p>Big game security provided through moderate reduction of roads within wildlife security areas.</p> <p>Active Management actions to enhance big game habitat</p> <p>Travel Mgmt changes to improve big game harvest</p>	<p>No specific actions for big game identified</p> <p>No planned Management action to enhance big game habitat</p> <p>No travel Mgmt changes to improve big game harvest</p>	Same as B

BLM Administered Federal Lands – (Surface and Sub-surface Estate) Approximately 73,602 Acres	A No Action Continuation/ Extension of Existing Management Constraints	B Key Naturalness Areas Alternative	C Traditional Use & Uses Alternative	D Resource Enhancement	E Oil & Gas Leasing Emphasis	F Naturalness & Primitive Recreation
<p><i>Special Status Species - Wildlife</i></p>	<p>Management Common to all Alternatives</p> <p><i>Management of the Big River Fishes (Colorado pikeminnow, razorback sucker, bonytail chub, humpback chub), and bald eagle will be in accordance with existing recovery plans and consultations completed with the USFW.</i></p> <p><i>Management of Colorado River cutthroat trout will follow guidance outlined in the “Conservation Agreement and Strategy for Colorado River Cutthroat Trout, in the States of Colorado, Utah, and Wyoming” .</i></p> <p>Alt A Management emphasis would be on maintaining habitats important for these species and enhancement and restoration efforts would occur where necessary on a site specific basis. Current management objectives for Special Status fish and wildlife would be followed. Management would provide habitat conditions more tied to the requirements of individual species vs. ecosystem approaches.</p>	<p>Emphasize maintenance, restoration, and preservation special designations (ACECs, WSAs)to protect special status species habitats. Natural processes would be allowed to more significantly determine future conditions of special status species habitats than any other alternative. Some proactive management of habitat and closure of routes could occur.</p>	<p>Emphasize enhancement and some special designations (ACECs, WSAs)to protect special status species habitats. Proactive management of habitat and closure of routes could occur</p>	<p>Emphasize active management, enhancement and special designations (ACECs, WSAs)to protect special status species habitats. Active management of habitat and closure of routes would be used.</p>	<p>Same as Alternative A</p>	<p>Emphasize maintenance, restoration, and preservation special designations (ACECs, WSAs)to protect special status species habitats. Natural processes would be allowed to more significantly determine future conditions of special status species habitats than any other alternative. Some proactive management of habitat and closure of routes could occur.</p>

BLM Administered Federal Lands – (Surface and Sub-surface Estate) Approximately 73,602 Acres	A No Action Continuation/ Extension of Existing Management Constraints	B Key Naturalness Areas Alternative	C Traditional Use & Uses Alternative	D Resource Enhancement	E Oil & Gas Leasing Emphasis	F Naturalness & Primitive Recreation
<i>Special Status Species - Plants</i>	<p>Allow disturbance, but ensure that management actions do not contribute to the need to list candidate and sensitive plant species as threatened or endangered.</p> <p>Restrict disturbing activities to provide for protection, but not enhancement, of sensitive habitat and ecological processes.</p>	<p>Allow disturbance, but ensure that management actions do not contribute to the need to list candidate and sensitive plant species as threatened or endangered.</p> <p>Protect habitats, populations & ecological processes through designation of larger ACECs and natural (passive) processes. (See ACEC discussion). Emphasize land management that promotes overall community health and habitat integrity, as well as meeting the requirements of individual species. Restrict disturbing activities to a higher degree.</p>	<p>Allow disturbance, but ensure that management actions do not contribute to the need to list candidate and sensitive plant species as threatened or endangered.</p> <p>Restrict disturbing activities to a degree. Protect habitats, populations & ecological processes through designation of some ACECs (See ACEC discussion). Restrict disturbing activities.</p>	<p>Allow disturbance, but ensure that management actions do not contribute to the need to list candidate and sensitive plant species as threatened or endangered.</p> <p>Protect habitats, populations & ecological processes through designation of ACECs (See ACEC discussion), and active enhancement. Emphasize land management that promotes overall community health and habitat integrity, as well as meeting the requirements of individual species. Restrict disturbing activities to a higher degree.</p>	<p>Allow disturbance, but ensure that management actions do not contribute to the need to list candidate and sensitive plant species as threatened or endangered.</p> <p>Restrict disturbing activities to provide for protection, but not enhancement, of sensitive habitat and ecological processes.</p>	<p>Allow disturbance, but ensure that management actions do not contribute to the need to list candidate and sensitive plant species as threatened or endangered.</p> <p>Protect habitats, populations & ecological processes through designation of larger ACECs and natural (passive) processes. (See ACEC discussion). Emphasize land management that promotes overall community health and habitat integrity, as well as meeting the requirements of individual species. Restrict disturbing activities to a higher degree.</p>
<i>Soils</i>	<p>Manage to meet or exceed soils standard on a watershed scale. Small portions would be allowed to not meet the standard.</p>	<p>Same as A. Except higher standards of mitigation may apply. More areas would be managed to exceed standard, with an emphasis on natural processes.</p>	<p>Same as A</p>	<p>Same as A, except higher standards of mitigation may apply. More areas would be actively managed to exceed standards.</p>	<p>Same as A</p>	<p>Same as B</p>
<i>Water</i>	<p>Meet all State and Federal water quality standards.</p>	<p>Meet or exceed all State and Federal water quality standards, relying on natural processes.</p>	<p>Same as A</p>	<p>Same as B</p>	<p>Same as A</p>	<p>Same as B</p>
<i>Forestry</i>	<p>No special management actions identified. Manage to maintain and promote forest health.</p>	<p>Same as A</p>	<p>Same as A</p>	<p>Same as A</p>	<p>Same as A</p>	<p>Same as A</p>

BLM Administered Federal Lands – (Surface and Sub-surface Estate) Approximately 73,602 Acres	A No Action Continuation/ Extension of Existing Management Constraints	B Key Naturalness Areas Alternative	C Traditional Use & Uses Alternative	D Resource Enhancement	E Oil & Gas Leasing Emphasis	F Naturalness & Primitive Recreation
<i>Cultural Resources</i>	Compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act, National Programmatic Agreement/State Protocol, WO-IB-2002-101, other applicable laws, regulations, and policies.	Same as A	. Same as A	Same as A	Same as A	Same as A
	Native American Consultation for identification and protection of culturally sensitive properties and use areas.	Same as A	Same as A	Same as A	Same as A	Same as A
	Level of proactive work and/or need for National Register District or ACEC based upon Class I overview data and impact analysis.	Same as A	Same as A	Same as A	Same as A	Same as A
	Least amount of proactive work.	Low level of proactive work.	Moderate level of proactive work.	Greatest level of proactive work.	Low to moderate level of proactive work.	Low level of proactive work.

BLM Administered Federal Lands – (Surface and Sub-surface Estate) Approximately 73,602 Acres	A No Action Continuation/ Extension of Existing Management Constraints	B Key Naturalness Areas Alternative	C Traditional Use & Uses Alternative	D Resource Enhancement	E Oil & Gas Leasing Emphasis	F Naturalness & Primitive Recreation
<i>Lands & Realty</i>	<p>No direction identified for transferred lands.</p> <p>Disposal of 2031 acres in previously isolated parcels. (With the transfer of the NOSR parcels, lands previously identified in the 1984 Glenwood Springs RMP, may not be suitable for disposal as they are no longer isolated parcels.)</p> <p>BLM would continue to allow development within existing utility rights-of-ways along Highway 13 and I-70.</p> <p>Acquisitions of inholdings and other areas containing important resource values allowed.</p>	<p>Retention of lands on top of the Plateau except for the possibility of an exchange to acquire inholdings. Below the cliffs, lands would be considered on a case-by-case basis for exchange. No exchanges or disposals would occur within ACECs, WSAs, or SRMAs.</p> <p>Any use authorizations would have to meet the conditions or stipulations of the alternative.</p> <p>Potential disposal/exchange of approximately 40 acres adjacent to gun range, along with about 80 acres in other isolated parcels.</p> <p>Utility corridors would be allowed within 50 feet of centerline of BLM designated and administrative travel routes. BLM would continue to allow development within existing utility rights-of-ways along Highway 13 and I-70.</p> <p>Acquisitions of inholdings and other areas containing important resource values allowed.</p>	Same as B	Same as B	Same as B	Same as B
<i>Fire Management</i>	As identified in fire mgmt plan, based on criteria and resource values..	As identified in fire mgmt plan, based on criteria and resource values. Emphasize use of use of natural processes	Same as B	Same as B	Same as A	Same as B